arXiv:1609.09585v1 [cs.DM] 30 Sep 2016

Closed-Form Expressions for the n-Queens Problem and Related Problems

Kevin Pratt

Department of Mathematics
University of Connecticut
Storrs, Connecticut, U.S.A.

kevin.pratt@uconn.edu

October 3, 2016

Abstract

In this paper, we derive simple closed-form expressions for the n-queens problem and three related problems in terms of permanents of (0,1) matrices. These formulas are the first of their kind. Moreover, they provide the first method for solving these problems with polynomial space that has a nontrivial time complexity bound. We then show how a closed-form for the number of Latin squares of order n follows from our method. Finally, we prove lower bounds. In particular, we show that the permanent of Schur's complex valued matrix is a lower bound for the toroidal semi-queens problem, or equivalently, the number of transversals in a cyclic Latin square.

1 Introduction

The n-queens problem is to determine $Q(n)$, the number of arrangements of n queens on an n-by-n chessboard such that no two queens attack. It is a generalization of the eight queens puzzle posed in 1848 by Max Bezzel, a German chess player. The n-queens problem has been widely studied since then, attracting the attention of Gauss, Pólya, and Lucas. It is now best known as a toy problem in algorithm design [3].

Despite its rich history, little is known of the general behavior of $Q(n)$. Key results are that $Q(n) > 1$ for $n > 3$, and $Q(n) > 4^{n/5}$ when $\gcd(n, 30) = 5$. See [3] for a comprehensive survey. The only closed-form[1] we are aware of was given in [2]. It is "very complicated" by the authors own admission, however.

[1]We would like to correct a misunderstanding in [3]. The authors state that there exists no closed-form expression for $Q(n)$ because it was shown to be beyond the $\#P$ complexity class. However, the result referenced only shows that the n-queens problem is beyond $\#P$ because $Q(n)$ can be more than polynomial in n [1]. A function can clearly be beyond $\#P$ for this reason and still have a closed-form expression; consider 2^n for instance.

Some variants of the n-queens problem we consider include the *toroidal* n-queens problem $T(n)$, the *semi-queens* problem $S(n)$, and the *toroidal semi-queens* problem $TS(n)$. As with $Q(n)$, the general behavior of these functions is not well understood; asymptotic lower bounds are only known for $TS(n)$.

In this paper, we derive closed-form expressions for $Q(n), T(n), S(n)$, and $TS(n)$. The method we use is general and proceeds as follows. First, we come up with an *obstruction matrix* for a problem. Each entry in this matrix is a multilinear monomial, and the permanent of this matrix is a homogeneous polynomial. We then prove a formula for the sum of the coefficients of the terms containing some number of distinct variables in a homogeneous polynomial. This is then used to obtain closed-form expressions to our problems. These closed-form expressions are very similar to those for the number of Latin squares of order n, such as those given in [9]. In fact, we show that one such formula is an immediate corollary of our method.

Using the permanent to compute $Q(n)$ and $T(n)$ was previously considered by Rivin and Zabih [5]. Similarly, in 1874 Gunther used the determinant to construct solutions to the n-queens problem for small values of n[3]. As far as we can tell however, no one has attempted to obtain closed-forms with this approach. The expressions obtained in doing so can be evaluated in nontrivial time (i.e, better than the $O(n!)$ brute-force approach) and with polynomial space. The only other algorithms for computing $Q(n)$ and $T(n)$ having nontrivial complexity bounds were given in [4]; however, this approach requires exponential space. We are not aware of any previously known algorithms for $S(n)$ and $TS(n)$ with nontrivial complexity bounds.

Finally, we prove the first lower bounds for these problems in terms of determinants of $(0,1)$ matrices. As a consequence, we show that the permanent of Schur's complex valued matrix [8] provides a lower bound for the toroidal semi-queens problem.

2 Preliminary Definitions

The permanent of an n-by-n matrix $\mathbf{A} = (a_{i,j})$ is given by

$$\mathrm{per}(\mathbf{A}) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i,\sigma(i)}$$

where S_n is the symmetric group on n elements. It is a well-known result in complexity theory that computing the permanent of a matrix is intractable, even when restricted to the set of (0,1) matrices [6].

An *obstruction matrix* $\mathbf{A}$ is a square matrix whose entries are multilinear monomials in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$, with the property that $\mathrm{per}(\mathbf{A}) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ is a homogeneous polynomial.

If $\mathbf{A}$ is an obstruction matrix consisting of the variables $x_1, x_2, ..., x_m$, and $S = (s_i) \in \{0,1\}^m$, then $\mathbf{A}|S$ is the matrix obtained by substituting $x_i = s_i$ for all i.

An n-by-n matrix $\mathbf{M} = (m_{i,j})$ is *diagonal constant* if each northwest-southeast diagonal is constant, that is, $m_{i,j} = m_{i+1,j+1}$. A *circulant matrix* is a diagonal constant matrix with the property that each row is obtained by rotating the preceding row one position to the right, i.e, $m_{i,j} = m_{i+1 \bmod n, j+1 \bmod n}$



Figure 1: From top left to bottom right: The squares attacked by a queen, a semi-queen, a toroidal queen, and a toroidal semi-queen.

$Q(n)$ is the number of arrangements of n queens on an n-by-n chessboard such that no two attack, that is, lie on the same row, column, or diagonal (A000170 in [7]).

$S(n)$ is the number of arrangements of n nonattacking *semi-queens* on an n-by-n board (A099152 in [7]). A semi-queen is restricted to attack along the northwest-southeast diagonal direction. Note that $S(n) \geqslant Q(n)$.

$T(n)$ is the number of arrangements of n nonattacking queens on a toroidal n-by-n chess board (A051906 in [7]). The toroidal board is obtained by identifying the edges of the board as if it were a torus. As a result, the diagonals a queen can move along wrap around the board.

$TS(n)$ is the number of arrangements of n nonattacking semi-queens on an n-by-n toroidal chessboard (A006717 in [7]). Note that $TS(n) \geqslant T(n)$. $TS(n)$ is also the number of transversals of a cyclic Latin square [7].

3 Derivations of the Main Results

We begin by introducing the obstruction matrices for $Q(n), T(n), S(n)$, and $TS(n)$. Let $\mathbf{Q_n} = (q_{i,j})$ denote the n-by-n obstruction matrix where $q_{i,j} = x_{n-i+j}y_{2n-i-j+1}$. Each variable in this matrix corresponds to a diagonal direction, and each entry is the product of the two variables associated with the southwest-northeast and southeast-northwest diagonals it lies on. Similarly, let $\mathbf{T_n} = (t_{i,j})$ be given by $t_{i,j} = x_{(n-i+j) \bmod n}y_{(2n-i-j+1) \bmod n}$ (each "toroidal diagonal" is assigned a unique variable), let $\mathbf{S_n} = (s_{i,j})$ be given by $s_{i,j} = x_{n-i+j}$ (each northwest-southeast diagonal is assigned a unique variable), and let $\mathbf{Z_n} = (z_{i,j})$ be given by by $z_{i,j} = x_{(n-i+j) \bmod n}$ (each northwest-southeast toroidal diagonal is assigned a unique variable).

Note that these are in fact obstruction matrices, and so their permanents are homogeneous polynomials. Also note that $\mathrm{per}(\mathbf{Q_n})$ is polynomial in $4n-2$ variables, $\mathrm{per}(\mathbf{T_n})$ is a polynomial in $2n$ variables, $\mathrm{per}(\mathbf{S_n})$ is a polynomial in $2n-1$ variables, and $\mathrm{per}(\mathbf{Z_n})$ is a polynomial in n variables.

Example 1.

$$\mathbf{Q_4} = \begin{bmatrix} x_4y_7 & x_5y_6 & x_6y_5 & x_7y_4 \\ x_3y_6 & x_4y_5 & x_5y_4 & x_6y_3 \\ x_2y_5 & x_3y_4 & x_4y_3 & x_5y_2 \\ x_1y_4 & x_2y_3 & x_3y_2 & x_4y_1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{T_4} = \begin{bmatrix} x_4y_1 & x_1y_2 & x_2y_3 & x_3y_4 \\ x_3y_2 & x_4y_3 & x_1y_4 & x_2y_1 \\ x_2y_3 & x_3y_4 & x_4y_1 & x_1y_2 \\ x_1y_4 & x_2y_1 & x_3y_2 & x_4y_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{S_4} = \begin{bmatrix} x_4 & x_5 & x_6 & x_7 \\ x_3 & x_4 & x_5 & x_6 \\ x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & x_5 \\ x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{Z_4} = \begin{bmatrix} x_4 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ x_3 & x_4 & x_1 & x_2 \\ x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & x_1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example 1. Color-coded obstruction matrices for $Q(n), S(n), TS(n)$ and $T(n)$.

Definition 2. Let P be a homogeneous polynomial, and let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $g(P,k)$ is defined to be the sum of the coefficients of the terms in P that are a product of exactly k distinct variables.

Lemma 3. *$g(\mathrm{per}(\mathbf{Q_n}), 2n) = Q(n)$, $g(\mathrm{per}(\mathbf{T_n}), 2n) = T(n)$, $g(\mathrm{per}(\mathbf{S_n}), n) = S(n)$, and $g(\mathrm{per}(\mathbf{Z_n}), n) = TS(n)$.*

This follows immediately from the definition of the permanent and the structure of $\mathbf{Q_n}, \mathbf{T_n}, \mathbf{S_n}$, and $\mathbf{Z_n}$. Consider $\mathrm{per}(\mathbf{Q_n})$ for instance. We can write this as a sum of $n!$ terms of degree $2n$. Each term in this polynomial corresponds to a permutation matrix. If a term is square-free, then from the definition of $\mathbf{Q_n}$, no two elements in the corresponding permutation matrix lie along the same diagonal. Since a permutation matrix has no two nonzero entries on the same row or column, it follows that this permutation matrix corresponds to a solution for the n-queens problem.

We now give a recurrence for g that will be used to prove a closed-form.

Suppose that P is a homogeneous polynomial in m variables. Let $X_{m,y}$ be the subset of $\{0,1\}^m$ containing y ones; that is,

$$X_{m,y} = \{(x_1, \cdots, x_m) \in \{0,1\}^m : \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i = y\}.$$

Define

$$f(P, y) = \sum_{(x_1,\cdots,x_m)\in X_{m,y}} P(x_1, \cdots, x_m).$$

Lemma 4.

$$g(P,k) = f(P,k) - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} g(P,i)\binom{m-i}{k-i}. \tag{1}$$

Proof. By induction on k.

The statement holds for $k = 1$, since $f(P, 1)$ is the sum of the coefficients of the terms in P containing one distinct variable. For if cx_i^n is a term of P, then c is counted only once by $f(P, 1)$ by the element in $X_{m,1}$ for which $x_i = 1$.

Now suppose that the statement holds for all $i < k$. Consider any term in P that is a product of k distinct variables. The coefficient of this term will be counted by $f(P, k)$ exactly once, by the element in $X_{m,k}$ which has all of the variables in this term set to one. However, $f(P, k)$ also counts the coefficients of every term in P with less than k distinct variables. That is, suppose that $cx_1^{a_1}x_2^{a_2}\cdots x_i^{a_i}$ is a term in P and $i < k$. The coefficient c will be summed by $f(P, k)$ for any element in $X_{m,k}$ where the variables $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_i$ are all set to 1. This leaves $m - i$ free variables to set to 1, of which $k - i$ are to be set. Thus $g(P, i)$ is counted by $f(P, k)$ a total of $\binom{m-i}{k-i}$ times. By subtracting off these undesired multiplies of $g(P, i)$ for all $i < k$, we are left with the sum of the coefficients of the terms containing k distinct variables.

□

We now give a closed-form for g in terms of f.

Theorem 5. *Let P be a homogeneous polynomial in m variables. Then*

$$g(P,k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^{i+k} f(P,i)\binom{m-i}{k-i}. \tag{2}$$

Proof. By induction on k.

The $k = 1$ case is identical to that in Lemma 4.

Suppose the claim is true for all $j < k$. Then from Lemma 4,

$$\begin{aligned} g(P,k) &= f(P,k) - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} g(P,i)\binom{m-i}{k-i} \\ &= f(P,k) - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \binom{m-i}{k-i} \sum_{j=1}^{i} \binom{m-j}{i-j} (-1)^{i+j} f(P,j). \end{aligned}$$

Let $1 \leqslant x \leqslant k-1$. The coefficient of $f(P,x)$ in $g(P,k)$ is given by

$$-\sum_{i=x}^{k-1}\binom{m-i}{k-i}\binom{m-x}{i-x}(-1)^{i+x} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-x-1}\binom{m-x-i}{k-x-i}\binom{m-x}{i}(-1)^{i+1}.$$

Manipulating binomial coefficients and the upper bound of the sum,

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=0}^{k-x-1}\binom{m-x-i}{m-k}\binom{m-x}{i}(-1)^{i+1} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{k-x-1}(-1)^{k-x-i}\binom{k-m-1}{k-x-i}\binom{m-x}{i}(-1)^{i+1} \\
&= (-1)^{k-x+1}\sum_{i=0}^{k-x-1}\binom{k-m-1}{k-x-i}\binom{m-x}{i} \\
&= (-1)^{k+x+1}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{k-x}\binom{k-m-1}{k-x-i}\binom{m-x}{i} - \binom{m-x}{k-x}\right] \\
&= (-1)^{k+x+1}\left[\binom{k-x-1}{k-x} - \binom{m-x}{k-x}\right] = (-1)^{k+x}\binom{m-x}{k-x}
\end{aligned}$$

where the second to last step follows from Vandermonde's Identity. Therefore

$$g(P,k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^{i+k}f(P,i)\binom{m-i}{k-i}.$$

□

The following closed-forms follow directly from Lemma 3 and Theorem 5, and the fact that $\mathrm{per}(\mathbf{Q_n}), \mathrm{per}(\mathbf{T_n}), \mathrm{per}(\mathbf{S_n})$, and $\mathrm{per}(\mathbf{Z_n})$ are homogeneous polynomials in $4n-2$, $2n$, $2n-1$, and n variables, respectively.

Corollary 6. *Let $S_{m,y}$ be the subset of elements in $\{0,1\}^m$ containing at most y ones, U_n the set of all n-by-n (0,1) diagonal constant matrices, and V_n the set of all n-by-n (0,1) circulant matrices. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
Q(n) &= \sum_{s\in S_{4n-2,2n}}(-1)^{\beta(s)}\binom{4n-\beta(s)-2}{2n-\beta(s)}\mathrm{per}(\mathbf{Q_n}|s) \\
T(n) &= \sum_{s\in S_{2n,2n}}(-1)^{\beta(s)+n}\mathrm{per}(\mathbf{T_n}|s) \\
S(n) &= \sum_{\mathbf{M}\in U_n}(-1)^{\gamma(\mathbf{M})+n}\mathrm{per}(\mathbf{M})\binom{2n-\gamma(\mathbf{M})-1}{n-\gamma(\mathbf{M})} \\
TS(n) &= \sum_{\mathbf{M}\in V_n}(-1)^{\sigma(\mathbf{M})+n}\mathrm{per}(\mathbf{M})
\end{aligned}$$

where $\beta(s)$ is the number of ones in s, $\gamma(\mathbf{M})$ is the number of nonzero diagonals in $\mathbf{M}$, and $\sigma(\mathbf{M})$ is the number of ones in the first row of $\mathbf{M}$.

Note that multiple $(0,1)$ variable assignments to $\mathbf{Q_n}$ and $\mathbf{T_n}$ can correspond to the same $(0,1)$ matrix. As a result, one can think of the formulas for $Q(n)$ and $T(n)$ as being sums of permanents over multisets of $(0,1)$ matrices. In the cases of $\mathbf{S_n}$ and $\mathbf{Z_n}$, there is a one-to-one relationship between $(0,1)$ variable assignments and $(0,1)$ matrices, and so we can write $S(n)$ and $TS(n)$ as sums of permanents over sets of $(0,1)$ matrices.

3.1 Complexity Analysis

The above expressions are impractical to evaluate even for small values of n; however, they do provide nontrivial time complexity bounds for the problems considered.

Proposition 7. *$Q(n)$, $T(n)$, $S(n)$, and $TS(n)$ can be computed in polynomial space and in time $O(f(n)32^n)$, $O(f(n)8^n)$, $O(f(n)8^n)$, and $O(f(n)4^n)$, respectively, where $f(n) \in O(n^3)$.*

For example, we can compute $Q(n)$ as follows. There are $O(2^{4n})$ (0,1) tuples to enumerate in the summation. For each such tuple s, we can compute $\mathbf{Q_n}|s$ in $O(n^2)$ time, and compute the permanent of this matrix in $O(n2^n)$ time using Ryser's formula [6]. Thus $Q(n)$ can be computed in $O(n^3 32^n)$ time. The other bounds are obtained similarly.

The only other algorithms we know of for $Q(n)$ and $T(n)$ with nontrivial complexity bounds run in time $O(f(n)8^n)$ [4]. However, these algorithms require $O(n^2 8^n)$ space, whereas we only require $O(n^2)$ space. We do not know of any algorithms with nontrivial complexity bounds for the other two problems.

3.2 *Extension: Latin Squares

A Latin square of order n is an arrangement of n copies of the integers $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ in an n-by-n grid such that every integer appears exactly once in each row and column. We now show how an expression for L_n, the number of Latin squares of order n, follows naturally from the method used above.

Lemma 8. *Let $\mathbf{B_n} = (b_{i,j})$ be the n-by-n obstruction matrix where $b_{i,j} = x_{i+n(j-1)}$, and let $\mathbf{A_n}$ be the n^2-by-n^2 block diagonal matrix*

$$\mathbf{A_n} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B}_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{B}_n & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \mathbf{B}_n \end{bmatrix},$$

see Figure 2. Then $L_n = g(\mathrm{per}(\mathbf{A_n}), n^2)$.

Proof. A Latin square of order n can be thought of as an ordered set of n disjoint permutation matrices of order n. On the other hand, a term in $\mathrm{per}(\mathbf{A_n})$ can be thought of as an



Figure 2: The obstruction matrix A_3. Variables are represented by colors.

ordered set of n permutation matrices of order n, one along each copy of $\mathbf{B_n}$. If this term contains n^2 distinct variables, these permutation matrices must be disjoint. Therefore the sum of the coefficients of the terms in $\mathrm{per}(\mathbf{A_n})$ containing n^2 distinct variables is exactly L_n. □

Theorem 9. *Let L_n be the number of Latin squares of order n. Then*

$$L_n = \sum_{\mathbf{M} \in M_n} (-1)^{\sigma(\mathbf{M})+n} per(\mathbf{M})^n$$

where M_n is the set of all (0,1) n-by-n matrices, and $\sigma(\mathbf{M})$ is the number of nonzero entries in $\mathbf{M}$.

Proof. From Lemma 8 and Equation 2, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} L_n &= \sum_{i=1}^{n^2} (-1)^{i+n^2} f(\mathrm{per}(\mathbf{A_n}), i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n^2} (-1)^{i+n} \sum_{s \in X_{n^2,i}} \mathrm{per}(\mathbf{A_n}|s). \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n^2} (-1)^{i+n} \sum_{s \in X_{n^2,i}} \mathrm{per}(\mathbf{B_n}|s)^n \end{aligned}$$

where the last step follows from the fact that $\mathrm{per}(\mathbf{A_n}) = per(\mathbf{B_n})^n$. Because $\mathbf{B_n}|u \neq \mathbf{B_n}|v$ if $u \neq v$, we can rewrite this as

$$L_n = \sum_{\mathbf{M} \in M_n} (-1)^{\sigma(\mathbf{M})+n} \mathrm{per}(\mathbf{M})^n.$$

This formula was first given in [9]

□

4 Lower Bounds

In the last section, we showed that sums of coefficients in the permanents the obstruction matrices $\mathbf{Q_n}, \mathbf{T_n}, \mathbf{S_n}$, and $\mathbf{Z_n}$ correspond to the number of solutions to $Q(n), T(n), S(n)$, and $TS(n)$, respectively. We then gave a closed form for the function g that computes these sums. More precisely, $g(P, k)$ was the sum of the coefficients of the terms in the homogeneous polynomial P containing k distinct variables.

Now since each entry in $\mathbf{Q_n}$ is a monomial with coefficient 1, the coefficient of a term in $\det(\mathbf{Q_n})$ is no more than the coefficient of that same term in $\operatorname{per}(\mathbf{Q_n})$. Therefore $|g(\det(\mathbf{Q_n}), 2n)| \leqslant g(\operatorname{per}(\mathbf{Q_n}), 2n) = Q(n)$. The same argument applies to the other problems. Hence we have the following lower bounds.

Corollary 10. *Let $S_{m,y}$ be the subset of elements in $\{0,1\}^m$ containing at most y ones, U_n the set of all n-by-n (0,1) diagonal constant matrices, and V_n the set of all n-by-n (0,1) circulant matrices. Then*

$$Q_\ell(n) = \left| \sum_{s \in S_{4n-2,2n}} (-1)^{\beta(s)} \binom{4n - \beta(s) - 2}{2n - \beta(s)} \det(\mathbf{Q_n}|s) \right| \leqslant Q(n)$$

$$T_\ell(n) = \left| \sum_{s \in S_{2n,2n}} (-1)^{\beta(s)} \det(\mathbf{T_n}|s) \right| \leqslant T(n)$$

$$S_\ell(n) = \left| \sum_{\mathbf{M} \in U_n} (-1)^{\gamma(\mathbf{M})} \det(\mathbf{M}) \binom{2n - \gamma(\mathbf{M}) - 1}{n - \gamma(\mathbf{M})} \right| \leqslant S(n)$$

$$TS_\ell(n) = \left| \sum_{\mathbf{M} \in V_n} (-1)^{\sigma(\mathbf{M})} \det(\mathbf{M}) \right| \leqslant TS(n)$$

where $\beta(s)$ is the number of ones in s, $\gamma(\mathbf{M})$ is the number of nonzero diagonals in $\mathbf{M}$, and $\sigma(\mathbf{M})$ is the number of ones in the first row of $\mathbf{M}$.

These appear to be nontrivial lower bounds; see table Table 1. In fact, we now show that $TS_\ell(n)$ is A003112 in [7].

Let $\mathbf{M_n} = (m_{j,k}) = (\epsilon^{jk})$ be an n-by-n matrix, where ϵ is an nth root of unity, and let $P_n = \operatorname{per}(\mathbf{M_n})$. The matrix $\mathbf{M_n}$ is known as Schur's matrix [8]. It has been of interest in number theory, statistics, and coding theory. Its permanent is the topic of [8].

Theorem 11. *For all n, $|P_n| \leqslant TS(n)$.*

Proof. From Corollary 10, it suffices to show that $TS_\ell(n) = |P_n|$. This follows immediately from the fact that $P_n = g(\det(\mathbf{Z_n}), n)$ [8]. □

n	$Q_\ell(n)$	$Q(n)$	$T_\ell(n)$	$T(n)$	$S_\ell(n)$	$S(n)$	$TS_\ell(n)$	$TS(n)$
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	3
4	2	2	0	0	3	7	0	0
5	10	10	0	10	3	23	1	5
6	0	4	0	0	7	83	0	0

Table 1: Lower bounds for small values of n.

References

[1] Hsiang, J., Hsu. F., Shieh, Y. On the hardness of counting problems of complete mappings. *Discrete Mathematics*, 277(1-3):87–100, 2004.

[2] Chaiken, S., Hanusa, C.R.H., Zaslavsky, T. A q-Queens Problem. II. The square board. *J Algebr Comb*, 41(619), 2015.

[3] Bell, J., Stevens, B. A survey of known results and research areas for n-queens. *Discrete Mathematics*, 309(1):1–31, 2009.

[4] Rivin, I., Zabih, R. A dynamic programming solution to the n-queens problem. *Information Processing Letters*, 41:253–256, 1992.

[5] Rivin I., Vardi I., Zimmerman P. The n-Queens Problem. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 101(7):629–639, 1994.

[6] Wikipedia contributors. Computing the permanent. *Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia*, 2011.

[7] N. J. A. Sloane, editor, *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, published electronically at https://oeis.org, 2016.

[8] Graham, R.L., Lehmer, D.H. On The Permanent of Schur's Matrix. *J. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 21(A):487–497.

[9] Shao, J., Wei, W., A formula for the number of Latin squares. *Discrete Mathematics*, 110(1-3):293–296,1992.